Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)

josh@westcoastlitigation.com

Robert L. Hyde, Esq. (SBN: 227183)

bob@westcoastlitigation.com

### **Hyde & Swigart**

411 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 301

San Diego, CA 92108-3551

(619) 233-7770

(619) 297-1022

Attorneys for Candace Mings-Cohen

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Candace Mings-Cohen,

Plaintiff,
v.

American Express Centurion Bank,

Defendant

Case No: '12CV0400 L POR

Complaint For Damages

Jury Trial Demanded

#### Introduction

1. Plaintiff Candace Mings-Cohen, through her attorneys, brings this lawsuit to challenge the actions of Defendant American Express Centurion Bank, with regard to erroneous negative and derogatory reports regarding credit information reported by American Express to national credit reporting agencies, as well as for the negligent and malicious failure of American Express to properly investigate the repeated disputes of Plaintiff concerning the validity of certain alleged debts that American Express claimed was owed American Express by Plaintiff, and the failure of the American Express to

1

2

5

6

- 7 8
- 9 10

11

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21

22

23

24

- 25
- 27 28

26

- correct the derogatory and negative credit information placed in the Plaintiff's credit file by American Express, which American Express knew, or should have known, was erroneous, and which caused Plaintiff damages.
- Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception 2. of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to a plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint 3. alleges violations of the statutes cited in their entirety.
- Unless otherwise stated, all the conduct engaged in by American Express took 4. place in California.
- 5. Any violations by American Express were knowing, willful, and intentional, and American Express did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 6. 1692(k), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for supplemental state claims.
- This action arises out of American Express's violations of the Fair Credit 7. Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1691 et seq. ("FCRA").
- 8. Because American Express does business within the State of California, personal jurisdiction is established.
- Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 9.
- At all times relevant, American Express conducted business within the State 10. of California.

#### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of Carlsbad, State of 11. California.
- 12. American Express is located in the City of Los Angeles, in the State of California.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

American Express is a furnisher of information as contemplated by FCRA 13. section 1681s-2(a) & (b), that regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnish information to one or more consumer reporting agencies about consumer transactions or experiences with any consumer.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 14. Prior to 2010 Plaintiff opened two American Express credit cards, which were used by Plaintiff and paid as agreed by American Express over the course of the years.
- On or about January 8, 2010, American Express, without notifying Plaintiff 15. that there was an issue with her card(s), put a "block" on Plaintiff's account which denied Plaintiff the ability to make additional purchases.
- On January 8, 2010, Plaintiff attempted to use one or more of her American 16. Express cards at a retail establishment, and was declined.
- 17. Frustrated, Plaintiff telephoned American Express, where it was discovered that a billing error had apparently occurred as American Express had not received Plaintiff's payment. Plaintiff informed American Express that payment had been sent and that there must have been some type of error on the part of American Express. American Express's representative informed Plaintiff that her spending had increased over the past months and there may be a decrease in Plaintiff's credit limit.
- 18. After Plaintiff could not receive appropriate redress from American Express, Plaintiff hung up. Later that same day, Plaintiff called American Express again and a new representative informed Plaintiff of the same information that her payment had not been received. Plaintiff was informed that American Express had sent Plaintiff notification of this delinquency, but Plaintiff never received any such notification.
- 19. Plaintiff, asserted by American Express to be a "valued" card member for over twenty years, was frustrated and disappointed by the attitude of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- American Express in dealing with Plaintiff. As a result, Plaintiff then wrote two checks to American Express, \$6,840.16 and \$5,973.30, respectively which paid her balance in full. Those two checks were sent overnight mail with instructions to close her accounts.
- 20. Those two checks were delivered the next day, by way of Fed Express, on January 13, 2010 at 9:04 a.m. in New York and signed for by a reprentative of American Express, "R. Feldman."
- 21. Despite American Express receiving these checks to close Plaintiff's accounts, American Express subsequently began reporting Plaintiff's account as delinquent, which seriously damaged Plaintiff's credit standing. delinquencies were then transferred to one or more of Plaintiff's various credit reports, making the matter worse.
- On or about April 8, 2010, Plaintiff became aware that American Express was 22. now reporting derogatory and inaccurate information on Plaintiff's credit report(s), as Plaintiff began receiving collection letters from collection companies on her now paid American Express accounts. In response, Plaintiff wrote American Express directly, demanding the negative credit reporting information be removed from Plaintiff's credit reports as the accounts had been paid in full and were now closed.
- 23. In response to Plaintiff's written inquiry, on April 13, 2010, American Express sent Plaintiff a letter stating that the checks Plaintiff remitted for payment in full were "inadvertently misplaced, and not credited to [Plaintiff's] account."
- Notwithstanding that American Express had misplaced and mishandled 24. Plaintiff's account, American Express continued to report inaccurate and negative credit reporting information to one or more of Plaintiff's national credit reports.
- 25. To this day, American Express has failed to rectify the inaccurate credit reporting information.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- On or about July 2010, Plaintiff made a written request to the three major 26. credit bureaus, a/k/a the "Big Three" (Equifax, in Georgia; TranUnion, in Pennsylvania; and Experian, in Texas), in an attempt to have the inaccurate and negative credit reporting items removed from Plaintiff's credit report(s).
- 27. Subsequently, the Big Three sent requests for American Express to make an appropriate investigation, as required by the FCRA, into Plaintiff's claims that she was not late, should not be in collections and that the accounts were paid.
- 28. In August 2010, after conducting a negligent, and improper, investigation, American Express "verified" that the negative credit reporting information provided to the Big Three and continued to improperly show Plaintiff was delinquent on her closed American Express accounts in excess of 120 days.
- The above actions by American Express violated 15 U.S.C. §§1681 et seq., 29. including, but not limited to, 15 U.S.C. §§1681s-2, in that American Express failed to adequately investigate or reinvestigate the Plaintiff's claim that the derogatory information filed was inaccurate and thereafter correct this inaccurate information.
- Prior to the inaccurate credit reporting by American Express, Plaintiff had 30. stellar credit. Because Plaintiff was in the process of making a large real estate purchase at the time American Express began negatively reporting Plaintiff to all three national credit bureaus, this real estate purchase was hindered based upon American Express's negative reporting. As a result, Plaintiff suffered considerable damages.
- 31. As a result of the derogatory information reported by American Express, and in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq., Plaintiff abstained from applying for credit, had adverse action taken on existing credit accounts and was denied credit. Plaintiff has sustained actual damages including emotional distress and pecuniary loss.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### COUNT I

# VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 ET SEQ.

- 32. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other paragraphs.
- 33. The foregoing acts and omissions of this American Express constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FCRA, including, but not limited to, each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq.
- 34. As a result of American Express's willful noncompliance of the FCRA, Plaintiff has suffered is entitled to actual damages sustained by Plaintiff or damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A); such amount of punitive damages as the court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3), from each and every American Express.
- 35. As a result of American Express's negligent noncompliance of the FCRA, Plaintiff has suffered is entitled to actual damages sustained by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2), from American Express.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against American Express, and Plaintiff be awarded damages from American Express, as follows:
  - an award of actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial or damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A) against American Express for each incident of willful noncompliance of the FCRA;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

•	an award of such amount of punitive damages as the court may allow
	pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2) against American Express for each
	incident of willful noncompliance of the FCRA:

- an award of actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1) against American Express for each incident of negligent noncompliance of the FCRA;
- an award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2) against American Express for each incident of noncompliance of the FCRA;
- any other relief that the court deems just and proper.
- 36. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

### **Hyde & Swigart**

Date: February 13, 2012 By: /s Joshua B. Swigart Joshua B. Swigart Attorneys for Plaintiff

20 21

17

18

19

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

## Case 3:12-cv-00400-L-1/14 Page 8 of 8

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
Candace Mings-Cohen		American Express Centurion Bank, American				
	of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego, CA  XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.  '12 CV0400 L POR				
Hyde & Swigart	South Suite 301, San Diego, CA 9210	na 🕳	Attorneys (If Known)	120004	00 L POR	
	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF  1 □ 1 Incorporated or Proof Business In The	and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF rincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			2	Another State	
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  TORTS	FO	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic Liability □ 365 Personal Injury Product Liability □ 366 Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 370 Other Fraud □ 370 Other Personal □ 370 Other	George   G	0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health 0 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
<b>又</b> 1 Original □ 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	Reop	pened another (speci	ferred from Ger district Graph 6 Multidist Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 15 U.S.C. § 1691 et seq.  Brief description of cause: Unfair Credit Reporting Practice		Do not cite jurisdiction:	al statutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO		EMAND \$ 00+	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 02/14/2012 S/Joshua B. Swigart						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT #A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	